



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/034,660	12/28/2001	Kaushal Kurapati	US 010713	1819

24737 7590 03/18/2004

PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS
P.O. BOX 3001
BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510

EXAMINER

RONES, CHARLES

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2175

9

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/034,660

Applicant(s)

KURAPATI ET AL.

Examiner

Charles L. Rones

Art Unit

2175

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 January 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 26 January 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 8.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) _____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment

The amendment timely filed on January 26, 2004 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 6-8, 12-17, and 19-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,631,496 ('Li').

Li discloses:

As to claim 1,

(a) determining a particular user of a browser; See 6:23-67;

(b) determining whether the browser has been previously bookmarked for a web page of a web site presently accessed by the particular user of the browser wherein accessing of page that is being bookmarked is broadly and within reason deemed to be presently accessed by the user; See 5:15-67; 6:22-67;

Art Unit: 2175

(c) determining whether a record count exists for visits to the web page by the particular user if the browser has not been bookmarked wherein it is deemed that a count must exist in order for it to be incremented each time the page is accessed; See 5:15-67; 6:22-67;

(d) if it is determined in step (c) that the record count exists, updating the record count to reflect the access by the particular user, and creating an initial record count if it is determined in step (c) that no record count exists for the present webpage being accessed by the particular user; See 5:15-67; 6:22-67;

(e) determining whether the record count has reached a predetermined as threshold of visitation; See 5:15-67; 6:22-67.

Li discloses the claimed invention except for (f) prompting the user as to whether it is desired to bookmark the webpage if it is determined in step (e) that the threshold has been reached and (g) bookmarking the webpage if the user responds affirmatively to step (f);. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to prompt the user as to whether it is desired to bookmark the webpage if it is determined that the threshold has been reached and (g) bookmarking the webpage if the user responds affirmatively to step (f) since it was known in the art that a user can bookmark a page that he is currently accessing and the system automatically bookmarks the page, it would be more interactive for an automatic bookmarking to prompt a user to accept a prompt to enter the desired bookmark.

Although, Li is deemed to broadly disclose bookmarking a page being currently accessed, alternatively it is deemed that Li discloses the claimed invention except for determining whether the browser has been previously bookmarked for a web page of a web site presently presently accessed by the particular user of the browser wherein accessing of page that is being bookmarked. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to determine whether the browser has been previously bookmarked for a web page of a web site presently presently accessed by the particular user of the browser wherein accessing of page that is being bookmarked since it was known in the art that that having several bookmarks to the same place would clutter up the bookmark list making them much less useful and that bookmarking a page while accessing the page would be the best time to do so since it is on the screen currently and it is when the user has determined that it is a good page to access and retain.

As to claim 6,

(h) prompting the particular user to indicate as to whether it is desired to bookmark the address of the webpage; See Abstract; 5:15-67; 6:22-67.

As to claim 7,

wherein in response to an indication by the user desiring a bookmark, (i) proceeding to bookmark the address of the webpage; See Abstract; 5:15-67; 6:22-67.

As to claim 8,

wherein the predetermined threshold of the visitation count in step (f) consists of a tally of visits by the user to the webpage; See Abstract; 5:15-67; 6:22-67.

As to claim 12,

wherein the predetermined threshold in step (f) is set by the particular user; See Abstract; 5:15-67; 6:22-67.

As to claim 13,

wherein the bookmarking in step (g) is performed locally in a storage area communicating with a browser of the particular user; See Abstract; 5:15-67; 6:22-67.

As to claim 14,

wherein the bookmarking in step (g) is performed remotely in a storage area communicating with a browser of the particular user; See Abstract; 5:15-67; 6:22-67.

As to claim 15,

wherein the particular user selects whether the bookmarking is performed locally; See Abstract; 5:15-67; 6:22-67.

As to claim 16,

wherein the particular user selects whether the bookmarking is performed remotely; See Abstract; 5:15-67; 6:22-67.

As to claim 17,

wherein the recommendation made in step (g) is displayed to the user; See Abstract; 5:15-67; 6:22-67.

As to claim 19,

wherein the bookmarking is performed by a Local Area Network (LAN) in communication with the particular user; See Abstract; 5:15-67; 6:22-67.

As to claim 20,

wherein the bookmarking is performed by an Internet Service Provider (ISP) of the particular user; See Abstract; 5:15-67; 6:22-67.

As to claim 21,

(h) confirming with the particular user after a certain predetermined period of time has passed without visitation of the webpage by the particular user whether the bookmark should be removed, and removing the bookmark if the particular user agrees to removal of the bookmark; See Abstract; 5:15-67; 6:22-67.

As to claim 22,

(h) purging the bookmark after a certain predetermined period of time has passed without visitation of the webpage by the particular user; See Abstract; 5:15-67; 6:22-67.

As to claims 23-27, they are combinations and subcombinations of previously rejected claims and are rejected for their respective reasons as set forth above.

Claims 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,631,496 ('Li') in view of Shaw et al. U.S. Patent Publication No. 2002/0083148 ('Shaw').

As to claims 9-11,

wherein the predetermined threshold of the visitation count in step (f) comprises a combination of (i) the visitation count comprising more than one visit by the particular user; See Abstract; 5:15-67; 6:22-67;

Li discloses the claimed invention except for a total aggregate time spent viewing the webpage. Shaw teaches that it is known to provide a total aggregate time spent viewing the webpage. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art

Art Unit: 2175

at the time the invention was made to provide a total aggregate time spent viewing the webpage as taught by Shaw, since Shaw states at paragraph 1 that such a modification would help value a website by not only showing the number of hits per day but also the average amount of time a user spends on the site to show a user an advertisement which translates into more revenue.

Claims 2-5 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al. U.S. Patent No. 6,631,496 ('Li').

As to claim 2,

Li discloses the claimed invention except for a determination of the particular user is made by speech recognition, by prompting for at least one of a password and user identification, by a capturing an image of the particular user by a camera, and comparing with images of authorized users in a storage area, and by iris detection. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a determination of the particular user is made by speech recognition, by prompting for at least one of a password and user identification, by a capturing an image of the particular user by a camera, and comparing with images of authorized users in a storage area, and by iris detection since it was known in the art that different methods of restricting access to data by verifying a user using a biometric or password would allow only those allowed to access data the ability to access the data by verifying the identity of that particular user. Also disclosed under Applicant's admitted prior art; See specification pages 10-11.

As to claim 18,

wherein the recommendation in step (g) is made by sound.

Li discloses the claimed invention except for wherein the recommendation in step (g) is made by sound. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide wherein the recommendation in step (g) is made by sound since it was known in the art that a user can hear sound and that computer come with speakers, that providing a beep or voice to a user would enable the user to hear the response if he/she was visually impaired. Also disclosed in Applicant's admitted prior art; See specification pages 10-11.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.


Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles L. Rones whose telephone number is 703-306-3030. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8am-4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dov Popovici can be reached on 703-305-3830. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Art Unit: 2175

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3800.



Charles L. Rones
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2175

March 16, 2004